

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tradempik Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

WWW.UEDLO.GOV

			www.uspto.gov	$\sim$ $^{\prime}$		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION	1 <sub>1</sub> NO.	
09/523,132	03/10/2000	Yasunao Okazaki	10873.506US01	6038		
	590 02/26/2002					
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903		·	LEE, BE	LEE, BENNY T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMB	ER	
			2817			
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	以南川
•	

				AARBURURR	01. U.L. 60531		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			, A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		:	•				
•				ا ات	EXAMINER		
			•				
	· .		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	: .	•	. 1		•	7	
·			. 1	Į.	DATE MAILED:		

communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 300 700	This action is made final.
$r \sim r^{2}$	the date of this letter.
Part 1 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(5) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  1 Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2 Notice re Patent Drawing L. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 Notice of Informal Patents Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6	g, PTO-948. nt Application, Form PTO-152
Pan II SUMMARY OF ACTION	.•
1. Claims 2-17	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2 [/ Claims	nive been cancelled.
1: 1/1 Claims 2 - 1 D	•
11-17	_ are allowed.
4. [ Claims [ T ]	are rejected.
S. Claims	ale objected to.
6. Claimsare subject to	restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purpose matter is indicated.	s until such time as allowable subject
3. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Offi	ce action,
3. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawing not acceptable (see explanation).	ngs are. 🗀 acceptable;
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of draw has (have) been approved by the examiner. I disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	vings, filed on
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	to ensure that the drawlings are
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has b	sen received not been received
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	
11. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed in
14. Other	••

'TOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

EXAMINER'S ACTION

SN 523132

Application/Control Number: 523132

Art Unit: 2817

. 3

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the replacement paragraph to page 1, line 19, last paragraph therein, note that "fig. 97" is vague in meaning.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 12, should the claim properly depend from claim ------- instead of claim "2"?

The following claims have been found objectionable for reasons set forth below:

In claim 11, last paragraph, note that a --, -- should follow "mode" for grammatical correctness.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Toyoshima (of record) for reasons of record.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyoshima in view of Buck et al (both of record) for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed 30 October 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 523132 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

Applicants' have argued that the Toyoshima package eliminates waveguide modes but does not disclose elimination of an unwanted higher order mode.

Contrary to applicants' assertion, the Toyoshima reference does indeed function to eliminate higher order modes. It should be noted that waveguide modes within microwave packages are undesirable modes, and certainly are of a higher order due to their undesirability. Thus Toyoshima does indeed meet the claimed invention, as recited.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308 4902.

B. Lee

February 22, 2002

BENNY T. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART LINIT 2817